Examiner's amendment

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview

with Mr. Gregory Stephens (Reg. No. 41,329) on November 02, 2009.

Cancel claims 1-6.

Amend claims 7-10 as following: --

7. (Currently amended) A system in which a user of a client computer implements an

operation on a remote server, comprising:

a server computer having a memory portion with software code stored therein which,

when invoked and without further involvement by the client computer:

   identifies the user;

   identifies a number of logical components required to implement the operation,

the particular logical components dependent upon the identity of the user;

   causes the retrieval of data required to implement the logical components;

   causes the implementation of said number of logical components in order to effect

the implementation of the operation; and

   causes the delivery of the results of the implementation of the operation to the

client computer by dynamically loading, binding, and executing said logical components

into a program at runtime and executing programs assembled from said logical

components at any time without re-compiling the entire program.

8. (Original) The system of claim 7, further comprising a communication interface

allowing a user at the client computer to select and organize said logical components in

order to define said operation, said memory portion retaining said selection and

organization of said logical components such that they are associated with the user.

9. (Original) The system of claim 7, further comprising a communication interface allowing a user at the client computer to re-organize said logical components in order to define said operation, said memory portion retaining said selection and organization of said logical components such that they are associated with the user.

10. (Original) The system of claim 7, further comprising a component which binds together the logical components following identification but prior to implementation.

--

Thus, the request dated August 15, 2003 is moot in view of applicant was willing to cancel those claims 1-6.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R 1.109 and M.P.E.P 1302.14, the following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The prior arts of record do not teach a system in which a user of a client computer implements an operation on a remote server, comprising:

Software code stored a memory portion of a server, when invoked and without further involvement by the client computer to perform the following steps:

      a) Identifying the user;

      b) Identifying a number of logical components required to implement the operation, the particular logical components dependent upon the identity of the user;

      c) Retrieving data that are required to implement the logical components;

      d) Implementing said number of logical components in order to effect the implementation of the operation; and

      e) Delivering the results of the implementation of the operation to the client computer, wherein logical components are called and bound into a program at runtime and allowing dynamic programming which may be modified at any time without re-compiling the entire program.

The examiner considers the applicants' claims 7-10 to be allowable based on the claim interpretation and the aforesaid prior arts of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably **accompany** the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

KI
November 02, 2009

/Krisna Lim/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453